

One-side Energy Costs of the RBO Receiver*

Marcin Kik, Maciej Gębala, and Mirosław Kutylowski

Faculty of Fundamental Problems of Technology
Wrocław University of Technology
ul. Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27
PL-50-370 Wrocław
Poland

September 21, 2012

Abstract

Let $n = 2^k$ be the length of the broadcast cycle of the RBO broadcast scheduling protocol (see [1], [2]). Let lb and ub be the variables of the RBO receiver as defined in [2]. We show that the number of changes of lb (the *left-side energy*) is not greater than $k + 1$. We also show that the number of changes of rb (the *right-side energy*) is not greater than $k + 2$. Thus the *extra energy* (defined in [2]) is bounded by $2k + 3$. This updates the previous bound from [2] which was $4k + 2$.

1 Introduction

In this report we prove precise upper bound on the *extra energy* (defined in [2]) used by the RBO receiver. RBO broadcast scheduling protocol has been introduced in [1] and [2]. Following the description from [2], the general operation of RBO could be shortly outlined as follows:

A powerful *broadcaster* broadcasts repeatedly a *broadcast cycle*. The broadcast cycle is a sequence of $n = 2^k$ uniform *messages*. Transmission of each message occupies a single *time-slot*. Each message contains a *key*, the *index* of the key in the *sorted* sequence of all keys and possibly some other information. The broadcast cycle is a sequence of the messages sorted by the keys and permuted by k -bit reversal permutation.

On the other hand the receiver has a limited source of energy. It has to keep its radio switched off most of the time to save energy. The receiver may start at arbitrary time slot during the broadcast cycle and is interested in the reception of the messages with the keys from some given interval $[\kappa', \kappa'']$. It uses two variables: lb and ub, initiated to zero and $n - 1$, respectively, such that the indexes of the keys from $[\kappa', \kappa'']$ are contained in $[lb, ub]$. The receiver switches its radio on whenever a message with the key with the index from $[lb, ub]$ is transmitted. If the key is outside $[\kappa', \kappa'']$, then the receiver updates either lb or ub and the unit of energy used for its reception is accounted to so called *extra energy*. After at most n time slots, the interval $[lb, ub]$ contains only all the indexes of the keys from $[\kappa', \kappa'']$. We show that the extra energy is bound by $2k + 3$. This updates the previous upper bound from [2] which was $4k + 2$.

*This work is supported by “Detectors and sensors for measuring factors hazardous to environment – modeling and monitoring of threats”, a project financed by the European Union via the European Regional Development Fund and the Polish state budget, within the framework of the Operational Programme Innovative Economy 2007-2013. Ref. No. POIG.01.03.01-02-002/08-00.

2 Notation and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the set of integers. Let $\mathbb{R}$ denote the set of real numbers. For simplicity and generality, we assume that the keys are from $\mathbb{R}$. By $[a, b]$ we denote the interval of real numbers $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$. By $[[a, b]]$ we denote $[a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. interval of integers between a and b). For a finite set S , we denote the number of its elements by $|S|$. Empty set is denoted by $\emptyset$ and we have $\min \emptyset = +\infty$ and $\max \emptyset = -\infty$.

For $x \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\text{bin}_i(x)$ denote the sequence of i digits that is binary representation of $x \bmod 2^i$ (e.g. $\text{bin}_4(5) = (0101)$ – sequence of zeroes and ones in *parenthesis* denotes *binary* representation). For a sequence α that is a binary representation, $(\alpha)_2$ denotes the number represented by α . Thus $(\text{bin}_i(x))_2 = x \bmod 2^i$. Let $\text{bin}(x) = \text{bin}_{\lceil \lg_2(x+1) \rceil}(x)$. (Note that $\text{bin}(0) = \text{bin}_0(0)$ is an empty sequence and, hence, for empty sequence α , we have $(\alpha)_2 = 0$.) For binary representation α ,

- α^d denotes the concatenation of d copies of α (e.g. $(1)^4 = (1111)$),
- $\text{rev } \alpha$ denotes reversal of α (e.g. $\text{rev}(01) = (10)$), and
- $|\alpha|$ denotes the length of α .

For two binary representations α and β , $\alpha\beta$ denotes the concatenation of α and β (e.g. $(01)(11) = (0111)$).

For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\text{rev}_k(x) = (\text{rev } \text{bin}_k(x))_2$. Note that $\text{rev}_k(x)$ is the number with binary representation that is a reversal of the k -bit binary representation of $x \bmod 2^k$. Thus, $\text{rev}_k(x) \in [[0, 2^k - 1]]$. Note that, if $k > 0$, then $\text{rev}_k(1) = 2^{k-1}$. For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{rev}_k S$ denotes the *image* of S under rev_k , i.e. $\text{rev}_k S = \{\text{rev}_k(x) \mid x \in S\}$.

Let n denote the length of the broadcast cycle, $n = 2^k$, for integer $k \geq 0$. Let $\kappa_{-1}, \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}, \kappa_n$ be a sequence defined as follows:

- $\kappa_{-1} = -\infty$
- $\kappa_n = +\infty$
- $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}$ is a sorted sequence of n finite real values of the keys transmitted in the broadcast cycle (i.e. $\kappa_i \leq \kappa_{i+1}$, for $-1 \leq i \leq n-1$).

Let $\text{KEYS} = \{\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}\}$. KEYS is the set of all values of the keys transmitted in the broadcast cycle.

2.1 Outline of the RBO protocol

Here, we shortly remind the version of the protocol described in [2]:

Broadcasting starts at the time-slot number zero. The broadcaster, at the time-slot t , broadcasts the message with the key with the index $\text{rev}_k(t)$. The receiver, requesting the keys from $[\kappa', \kappa'']$, where $-\infty < \kappa' \leq \kappa'' < +\infty$, has two variables lb and ub initialized to 0 and $n-1$, respectively. The receiver may start at arbitrary time slot s , and executes the following algorithm:

- In time-slot t , if $\text{lb} \leq \text{rev}_k(t) \leq \text{ub}$, then the receiver receives the message with the key $\kappa = \kappa_{\text{rev}_k(t)}$ and
 - if $\kappa < \kappa'$, then it sets lb to $\text{rev}_k(t) + 1$,
 - if $\kappa'' < \kappa$, then it sets ub to $\text{rev}_k(t) - 1$,
 - if $\kappa' \leq \kappa \leq \kappa''$, then it reports reception of the key κ from $[\kappa', \kappa'']$
 - if $\text{lb} > \text{ub}$, then it reports that there are no keys from $[\kappa', \kappa'']$ in the broadcast cycle.

2.2 Notation and preliminaries related to the analysis of the protocol

We fix n , k and s as follows: $n = 2^k$ is the length of the broadcast cycle, and s is the time-slot when the RBO receiver starts. W.l.o.g. we assume that $s \in [[0, n-1]]$.

Let r' and r'' be defined as follows:

- $r' = \min\{r \in [[0, n]] \mid \kappa' \leq \kappa_r\}$, and
- $r'' = \max\{r \in [[-1, n-1]] \mid \kappa_r \leq \kappa''\}$.

For each $r \in [[r', r'']]$, we have $\kappa_r \in [\kappa', \kappa'']$. If $[\kappa', \kappa''] \cap \text{KEYS} = \emptyset$ then, for some $r \in [[-1, n-1]]$, we have $\kappa_r < \kappa'$ and $\kappa'' < \kappa_{r+1}$ and $r' = r+1$ and $r'' = r$. If $[\kappa', \kappa''] \cap \text{KEYS} \neq \emptyset$ then, since $-\infty < \kappa' \leq \kappa'' < +\infty$, we have $0 \leq r' \leq r'' \leq n-1$. Note that r' and r'' are the final values of the variables lb and ub, respectively.

We also define of the values: t_i , l_i , and the sets: Y_i , X_i , $Y_{i,j}$, and $X_{i,j}$ as follows: $t_0 = s$ and, for $i \geq 0$,

- $l_i = \max\{l \leq k \mid t_i \bmod 2^l = 0\}$ (i.e. l_i is the length of the longest suffix of zeroes in $\text{bin}_k(t_i)$),
- $t_{i+1} = t_i + 2^{l_i}$,
- $Y_i = [[t_i, t_i + 2^{l_i} - 1]]$,
- $X_i = \text{rev}_k Y_i$,

and, for $i \geq 0$, for $j \in [[0, l_i]]$,

- $Y_{i,j} = [[t_i + \lfloor 2^{j-1} \rfloor, t_i + 2^j - 1]]$ (in other words: $Y_{i,0} = \{t_i\}$ and, for $j \in [[1, l_i]]$, $Y_{i,j} = [[t_i + 2^{j-1}, t_i + 2^j - 1]]$),
- $X_{i,j} = \text{rev}_k Y_{i,j}$.

We also define index last as follows: $\text{last} = \min\{i \geq 0 \mid l_i = k\}$.

Y_i and $Y_{i,j}$ are intervals of the time-slots after $s-1$. The infinite sequence of the time-slots $t_s, t_{s+1}, \dots$ is partitioned into the intervals $Y_0, Y_1, \dots$, and each Y_i is partitioned into the intervals $Y_{i,0}, \dots, Y_{i,l_i}$. X_i and $X_{i,j}$ are the sets of indexes of the keys transmitted during the corresponding intervals of time-slots. Note that, if $s \neq 0$, then for $i \in [[0, \text{last}-1]]$, $Y_i \subseteq [[0, n-1]]$, and $Y_{\text{last}} = [[n, 2n-1]]$, and if $s = 0$, then $\text{last} = 0$ and $Y_{\text{last}} = [[0, n-1]]$. We have $s+n-1 \in Y_{\text{last}}$ and, until the time slot $s+n-1$, all the elements of the broadcast cycle are transmitted to the receiver. Note also that $[[0, n-1]]$ contains a *disjoint* union of the sets $X_0, \dots, X_{\text{last}-1}$, and $X_{\text{last}} = [[0, n-1]]$, and each X_i is a *disjoint* union of the sets $X_{i,0}, \dots, X_{i,l_i}$.

Since all k -bit binary representations of X_i have the same suffix of length $k - l_i$, we may define β_i as follows: For $i \in [[0, \text{last}]]$, let the sequence of bits β_i be such that $|\beta_i| = k - l_i$ and $\min X_i = \text{rev}_k(t_i) = ((0)^{l_i} \beta_i)_2$. Note that $X_i = \{(\text{bin}(x) \beta_i)_2 \mid x \in [[0, 2^{l_i} - 1]]\}$.

For $i \in [[0, \text{last}-2]]$, the sequence β_i contains prefix $(1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}(0)$, so let α_i be such that $\beta_i = (1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}(0)\alpha_i$.

Note that $\max X_i = ((1)^{l_i} \beta_i)_2$ and, if $i+1 < \text{last}$, then $\beta_{i+1} = (1)\alpha_i$. Note that $\beta_{\text{last}-1} = (1)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}}$ and $|\beta_{\text{last}}| = 0$. Anyway, for $i \in [[0, \text{last}-1]]$, we have $\beta_i = (1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \gamma$, where $(\gamma)_2 \leq (\beta_{i+1})_2$. Thus we have proven the following lemma:

Lemma 1 *If $i \in [[0, \text{last}-1]]$ and $\beta_i = \rho \gamma$, where $|\gamma| = |\beta_{i+1}|$, then $(\gamma)_2 \leq (\beta_{i+1})_2$.*

Consider the binary representations of the elements of $X_{i,j}$: Note that, for $i \in [[0, \text{last}]]$, for $j \in [[0, l_i]]$, we have $x \in X_{i,j}$ if and only if either $j = 0$ and $\text{bin}_k(x) = (0)^{l_i} \beta_i$ or $j \in [[1, l_i]]$, and $\text{bin}_k(x) = \rho(1)(0)^{l_i-j} \beta_i$, where $(\rho)_2 \in [[0, 2^{j-1} - 1]]$.

We also define the following infinite extensions of the sets of indexes: For $i \in [[0, \text{last}]]$, let $\mathbb{X}_i = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \bmod 2^{k-l_i} = (\beta_i)_2\}$. Note that $\mathbb{X}_i \cap [[0, n-1]] = X_i$. For $i \in [[0, \text{last}]]$, for $j \in [[0, l_i]]$, let $\mathbb{X}_{i,j} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \bmod 2^{k-j} = ((0)^{l_i-j} \beta_i)_2\}$. Note that $\mathbb{X}_{i,j} \cap [[0, n-1]] = \bigcup_{j' \in [[0, j]]} X_{i,j'}$.

By *left-side energy* (respectively, *right-side energy*) we mean the number of changes of the variable lb (respectively, ub). Let lb_t (respectively, ub_t) be the value of the variable lb (respectively, ub) just before the time slot t . By the protocol, $lb_t = \max(\{0\} \cup \{\text{rev}_k(t') + 1 \leq r' \mid t' \in [[s, t-1]]\})$ and $ub_t = \min(\{n-1\} \cup \{\text{rev}_k(t') - 1 \geq r' \mid t' \in [[s, t-1]]\})$.

For $t \geq 0$, let $L_t = \{lb_{t+1} - 1\} \setminus \{lb_t - 1\}$ and let $U_t = \{ub_{t+1} + 1\} \setminus \{ub_t + 1\}$. If the value of lb (respectively, of ub) has changed in the time slot t , then L_t (respectively, U_t) contains the index $\text{rev}_k(t)$, otherwise it is empty. Hence, no index can appear in more than one of these sets. The left-side energy is equal to $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} L_t|$. The right-side energy is equal to $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} U_t|$. Note that, for $t \notin [[s, s+n-1]]$, we have $L_t = U_t = \emptyset$.

We consider only the case $k \geq 2$. (Otherwise, the sum of the left and right energy is bound by 2.)

3 Left-side energy

Let $t' = \min\{t \in [[s, s+n-1]] \mid lb_{t+1} > 0\}$. Note that t' is the first time slot, when lb is updated. If $r' > 0$, then $t' < \infty$.

Let $m'_{i,j} = \max\{lb_{t+1} - 1 \mid t \in Y_{i,j}\}$. Note that $m'_{i,j}$ is either -1 or the maximal index that updated the value of lb before the time slot $\max Y_{i,j} + 1$, and $m'_{i,j} = lb_{\max Y_{i,j} + 1} - 1$. Let $m'_i = m'_{i,l_i}$.

Lemma 2 $m'_{i,j} = \max(\{-1\} \cup \{x \in \bigcup_{i' \in [[0, i-1]]} X_{i'} \cup \bigcup_{j' \in [[0, j]]} X_{i,j'} \mid x < r'\})$. If $t' = s$, then $m'_{i,j} \geq 0$.

Proof $m'_{i,j} = lb_{\max Y_{i,j} + 1} - 1 = \max(\{-1\} \cup \{\text{rev}_k(t') < r' \mid t' \in [[s, \max Y_{i,j}]]\})$ and $\{\text{rev}_k(t') \mid t' \in [[s, \max Y_{i,j}]]\} = \bigcup_{i' \in [[0, i-1]]} X_{i'} \cup \bigcup_{j' \in [[0, j]]} X_{i,j'}$.

If $t' = s$, then $lb_{s+1} - 1 \geq 0$. □

Let $p'_{i,j} = \max\{x \in \mathbb{X}_{i,j} \mid x < r'\}$. Note that either $p'_{i,j} < 0$ or $p'_{i,j} \in \bigcup_{0 \leq j' \leq j} X_{i,j'}$. By Lemma 2, $m'_{i,j} \geq p'_{i,j}$. Let $p'_i = \max\{x \in \mathbb{X}_i \mid x < r'\}$. By Lemma 2, $m'_i \geq p'_i$. Let $x'_i = \lfloor p'_i / 2^{k-l_i} \rfloor$. Note that, by definition of $\mathbb{X}_i$, we have $x'_i \geq -1$.

Lemma 3 If $t' = s$, then, for $i \in [[0, \text{last}]]$, for $j \in [[0, l_i]]$, $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i,j}} L_t \subseteq \{p'_{i,j}\} \cap X_{i,j}$.

Proof The case $j = 0$: We have $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i,0}} L_t \subseteq X_{i,0}$. If $r' \leq \min X_{i,0}$ then $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i,0}} L_t = \emptyset$. Otherwise, since $|X_{i,0}| = 1$, we have $\min X_{i,0} = \max X_{i,0} < r'$ and, since $r' \leq n$ and $X_{i,0} = \mathbb{X}_{i,0} \cap [[0, n-1]]$, we have $X_{i,0} = \{p'_{i,0}\}$.

Induction step: For $j \in [[0, l_i - 1]]$, since $p'_{i,j} + 2^{k-j} \geq r'$, we have $X_{i,j+1} \cap [[p'_{i,j} + 1, r' - 1]] \subseteq \{p'_{i,j} + 2^{k-j-1}\}$. If $p'_{i,j} + 2^{k-j-1} < r'$, then $p'_{i,j+1} = p'_{i,j} + 2^{k-j-1}$, otherwise $X_{i,j+1} \cap [[p'_{i,j} + 1, r' - 1]] = \emptyset$. Since $m'_{i,j} \geq p'_{i,j}$ and $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i,j+1}} L_t \subseteq X_{i,j+1} \cap [[m'_{i,j} + 1, r' - 1]]$, we have $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i,j+1}} L_t \subseteq \{p'_{i,j+1}\} \cap X_{i,j+1}$. □

Lemma 4 If $t' = s$, then $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_0} L_t| \leq l_0 + 1$.

Proof The lemma follows from Lemma 3, since $Y_0 = \bigcup_{j \in [[0, l_0]]} Y_{0,j}$ and, for $j \in [[0, l_0]]$, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{0,j}} L_t| \leq 1$. □

Lemma 5 If $t' = s$, then, for $i \in [[0, \text{last} - 2]]$, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} L_t| \leq l_{i+1} - l_i$.

Proof Note that $l_{i+1} - l_i \geq 1$. Recall that $m'_i \geq p'_i = 2^{k-l_i} \cdot x'_i + (\beta_i)_2$, $\beta_i = (1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}(0)\alpha_i$ and $\beta_{i+1} = (1)\alpha_i$.

Consider the case: $r' \leq (\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} L_t &\subseteq X_{i+1} \cap [[m'_i + 1, r' - 1]] \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{X}_{i+1} \cap [[p'_i + 1, r' - 1]] \\ &\subseteq \{2^{k-l_i} \cdot x'_i + ((1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

since $p'_i = 2^{k-l_i} \cdot x'_i + ((1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}(0)\alpha_i)_2 > 2^{k-l_i} \cdot x'_i + ((1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i-1}(0)\beta_{i+1})_2$ and $r' \leq (\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$.

Consider the case: $(\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2 < r'$. Since

- $2^{k-l_i} \cdot x'_i + ((0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2 < p'_i$, and
- $(\text{bin}(x'_i + 2)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2 > (\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}(0)\alpha_i)_2 = (\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)\beta_i)_2 \geq r'$, and
- each $x \in \bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} X_{i+1, l}$ has $\text{bin}_k(x) = \xi(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1}$, for some ξ ,

we have

$$\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} X_{i+1, l} \cap [[p'_i + 1, r' - 1]] = \{(\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2\}.$$

Hence, $m'_{i+1, l_i} \geq (\text{bin}_{l_i}(x'_i + 1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$, and, since $\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} L_t \subseteq \bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} X_{i+1, l} \cap [[p'_i + 1, r' - 1]]$, we also have $|\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} L_t| \leq 1$.

By Lemma 3, for each $l \in [[l_i + 1, l_{i+1}]]$, we have $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} L_t| \leq 1$.

Moreover, if $|\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_{i+1}-1]]} (\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} L_t)| = l_{i+1} - l_i$, then, $m'_{i+1, l_i} \in \bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} L_t$, and, for each $l \in [[l_i + 1, l_{i+1} - 1]]$, $m'_{i+1, l} \in X_{i+1, l} \cap [[m'_{i+1, l-1} + 1, r' - 1]]$. The only such case is $m'_{i+1, l_i} = (\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$, and, for each $l \in [[l_i + 1, l_{i+1} - 1]]$, $m'_{i+1, l} = (\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(1)^{l-l_i}(0)^{l_{i+1}-l}\beta_{i+1})_2$. In this case, since $(\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2 > (\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)(1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}(0)\alpha_i)_2 = (\text{bin}(x'_i + 1)\beta_i)_2 \geq r'$, we have $X_{i+1, l_{i+1}} \cap [[m'_{i+1, l_{i+1}-1} + 1, r' - 1]] = \emptyset$ and, hence, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l_{i+1}}} L_t| = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 6 If $l_{\text{last}} > 0$ and $t' = s$, then $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{l_{\text{last}}}} L_t| \leq l_{\text{last}} - l_{\text{last}-1}$.

Proof If $r' \leq (\text{bin}(x'_{l_{\text{last}-1}} + 1)(0)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2$, then, since $m'_{l_{\text{last}-1}} \geq p'_{l_{\text{last}-1}} = 2^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}} \cdot x'_{l_{\text{last}-1}} + ((1)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2$, we have $X_{l_{\text{last}}} \cap [[m'_{l_{\text{last}-1}} + 1, r' - 1]] = \emptyset$, and, hence $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{l_{\text{last}}}} L_t| = 0$.

Otherwise, we have the case: $(\text{bin}(x'_{l_{\text{last}-1}} + 1)(0)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2 < r'$. In this case

$$\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_{\text{last}}-1]]} X_{l_{\text{last}}, l} \cap [[p'_{l_{\text{last}}-1} + 1, r' - 1]] = \{(\text{bin}(x'_{l_{\text{last}}-1} + 1)(0)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}}-1})_2\},$$

since $2^{k-l_{\text{last}}-1} \cdot x'_{l_{\text{last}}-1} + ((0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i})_2 < p'_{l_{\text{last}}-1}$ and $(\text{bin}(x'_{l_{\text{last}}-1} + 2)(0)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}}-1})_2 > (\text{bin}(x'_{l_{\text{last}}-1} + 1)(1)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}}-1})_2 \geq r'$. Hence, $|\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_{\text{last}}-1]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{l_{\text{last}}, l}} L_t| \leq 1$ and $m'_{l_{\text{last}}, l_{\text{last}}-1} \geq (\text{bin}(x'_{l_{\text{last}}-1} + 1)(0)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}}-1})_2$. By Lemma 3, for $l \in [[l_{\text{last}}-1 + 1, l_{\text{last}} - 1]]$, we have $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{l_{\text{last}}, l}} L_t| \leq 1$. Moreover, if $|\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_{\text{last}}-1]]} (\bigcup_{t \in Y_{l_{\text{last}}, l}} L_t)| = l_{\text{last}} - l_{\text{last}}-1$, then $m'_{l_{\text{last}}, l_{\text{last}}-1} = (\text{bin}(x'_{l_{\text{last}}-1} + 1)(1)^{l_{\text{last}}-1-l_{\text{last}}-1}(0))_2$ and, since $(\text{bin}(x'_{l_{\text{last}}-1} + 1)(1)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}}-1})_2 \geq r'$, we have

$$X_{l_{\text{last}}, l_{\text{last}}} \cap [[m'_{l_{\text{last}}, l_{\text{last}}-1} + 1, r' - 1]] = \emptyset.$$

$\square$

Lemma 7 $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} L_t| \leq k + 1$.

Proof If $t' \notin [[s, s + n - 1]]$ then $t' = \infty$ and $r' = 0$ and $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} L_t| = 0 < k + 1$.

Otherwise $t' \in [[s, s + n - 1]]$. Note that w.l.o.g. we may assume that $t' = s$, since $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} L_t| = |\bigcup_{t \geq t'} L_t|$. So, let us assume that $t' = s$. We have $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} L_t| = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}} |\bigcup_{t \in Y_i} L_t|$. By Lemmas 4, 5, and 6, $\sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}} |\bigcup_{t \in Y_i} L_t| \leq l_0 + 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}-1} (l_{i+1} - l_i) = l_{\text{last}} + 1 \leq k + 1$. $\square$

4 Right-side energy

Let $t'' = \min\{t \in [s, s+n-1] \mid \text{ub}_{t+1} + 1 \leq n-1\}$ (i.e. the first time slot when ub is updated). If $r'' < n-1$, then $t'' < \infty$.

Let $m''_{i,j} = \min\{\text{ub}_{t+1} + 1 \mid t \in Y_{i,j}\}$. Note that $m''_{i,j} = \text{ub}_{\max Y_{i,j}} + 1$. Let $m''_i = m''_{i,l_i}$.

Lemma 8 $m''_{i,j} = \min\left(\{n\} \cup \{x \in \bigcup_{i' \in [0, i-1]} X_{i'} \cup \bigcup_{j' \in [0, j]} X_{i,j'} \mid r'' < x\}\right)$. If $t'' = s$, then $m''_{i,j} \leq n-1$.

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2. $\square$

Let $p''_{i,j} = \min\{x \in \mathbb{X}_{i,j} \mid r'' < x\}$. By Lemma 8, $m''_{i,j} \leq p''_{i,j}$. Let $p''_i = \min\{x \in \mathbb{X}_i \mid r'' < x\}$. By Lemma 8, $m''_i \leq p''_i$. Let $x''_i = \lfloor p''_i / 2^{k-l_i} \rfloor$. Since $r'' \geq -1$, we have $p''_i \geq 0$ and $x''_i \geq 0$.

Lemma 9 If $t'' = s$, then, for $i \in [0, \text{last}]$, for $j \in [0, l_i]$, $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i,j}} U_t \subseteq \{p''_{i,j}\} \cap X_{i,j}$.

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3. $\square$

Lemma 10 If $t'' = s$ then $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_0} U_t| = 1$.

Proof Note that $t'' = s = t_0 = \min Y_0$ and $\text{rev}_k(\min Y_0) = \min X_0$. $\square$

Lemma 11 If $t'' = s$, then, for $i \in [0, \text{last}-2]$, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| \leq \max\{l_{i+1} - l_i, 2\}$.

Proof Recall that $l_{i+1} - l_i \geq 1$ and that $m''_i \leq p''_i = (\text{bin}(x''_i)\beta_i)_2$. Thus, we have $(x''_i - 1) \cdot 2^{k-l_i} + (\beta_i)_2 \leq r''$. Recall that $\beta_i = (1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}(0)\alpha_i$ and $\beta_{i+1} = (1)\alpha_i$.

Consider the case: $r'' < (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$. We show that in this case $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t \subseteq \{a, b\}$, where $a = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$ and $b = (x''_i - 1) \cdot 2^{k-l_i} + ((1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$.

First, note that $\bigcup_{l \in [0, l_i]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1,l}} U_t \subseteq \bigcup_{l \in [0, l_i]} X_{i+1,l} \cap [r'' + 1, m''_i - 1]$ and $m''_i \leq p''_i$. We have $\bigcup_{l \in [0, l_i]} X_{i+1,l} \subseteq \mathbb{X}_{i+1, l_i}$, and the only $x \in \mathbb{X}_{i+1, l_i}$, such that $(x''_i - 1) \cdot 2^{k-l_i} + (\beta_i)_2 < x < (\text{bin}(x''_i)\beta_i)_2$ is $x = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2 = a$. (Note that this also implies $m''_{i+1, l_i} \leq a$.)

Second, note that $\bigcup_{l \in [l_i+1, l_{i+1}]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1,l}} U_t \subseteq B$, where $B = \bigcup_{l \in [l_i+1, l_{i+1}]} X_{i+1,l} \cap [r'' + 1, m''_{i+1, l_i} - 1]$ and, since $(x''_i - 1) \cdot 2^{k-l_i} + ((1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}(0)\alpha_i)_2 \leq r''$ and $m''_{i+1, l_i} \leq (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$, we have $B \subseteq \{(x''_i - 1) \cdot 2^{k-l_i} + ((1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2\} = \{b\}$.

Consider the case: $(\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2 \leq r''$. We have $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t \subseteq C$, where $C = X_{i+1} \cap [r'' + 1, m''_i - 1]$. Since $(\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2 \leq r''$ and $m''_i \leq p''_i < (\text{bin}(x''_i)(1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}\beta_{i+1})_2$, we have $C = \{(\text{bin}(x''_i)\gamma\beta_{i+1})_2 \mid |\gamma| = l_{i+1} - l_i \wedge (\gamma)_2 \in [1, 2^{l_{i+1}-l_i} - 2]\}$. Since, for $\gamma = (0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i}$, $(\text{bin}(x''_i)\gamma\beta_{i+1})_2 \notin C$, we have $C \subseteq \bigcup_{l \in [l_i+1, l_{i+1}]} X_{i+1,l}$. Thus, $\bigcup_{l \in [0, l_i]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1,l}} U_t = \emptyset$. By Lemma 9, $|\bigcup_{l \in [l_i+1, l_{i+1}]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1,l}} U_t| \leq l_{i+1} - l_i$. Thus, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| \leq l_{i+1} - l_i$ in this case. $\square$

Lemma 12 If $t'' = s$ and $0 < \text{last}$, then $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{\text{last}}} U_t| \leq l_{\text{last}} - l_{\text{last}-1}$.

Proof Recall that $m''_{\text{last}-1} \leq p''_{\text{last}-1} = (\text{bin}(x''_{\text{last}-1})(1)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2$. We have $r'' \geq (x''_{\text{last}-1} - 1) \cdot 2^{k-l_{\text{last}-1}} + ((1)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2$.

Consider the case $r'' < (\text{bin}(x''_{\text{last}-1})(0)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2$. Then $r'' = (x''_{\text{last}-1} - 1) \cdot 2^{k-l_{\text{last}-1}} + ((1)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2$ and, since $\text{rev}_k(\min\{t \in Y_{\text{last}} \mid r'' < \text{rev}_k(t) < p''_{\text{last}-1}\}) = (\text{bin}(x''_{\text{last}-1})(0)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2 = r'' + 1$, we have $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{\text{last}}} U_t \subseteq \{r'' + 1\}$. (In other words: the first time slot t in Y_{last} such that $r'' < \text{rev}_k(t) < p''_{\text{last}-1}$ is such that $\text{rev}_k(t) = r'' + 1$.)

Otherwise, we have the case $(\text{bin}(x''_{\text{last}-1})(0)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2 \leq r''$. We also have $m''_{\text{last}-1} \leq (\text{bin}(x''_{\text{last}-1})(1)^{l_{\text{last}}-l_{\text{last}-1}})_2$. Thus,

$$\bigcup_{t \in Y_{\text{last}}} U_t \subseteq \{(\text{bin}(x''_{\text{last}-1})\gamma)_2 \mid |\gamma| = l_{\text{last}} - l_{\text{last}-1} \wedge (\gamma)_2 > 0\}.$$

Hence, $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{\text{last}}} U_t \subseteq \bigcup_{l \in [[l_{\text{last}-1}+1, l_{\text{last}}]]} X_{\text{last}, l}$. Thus, $\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_{\text{last}-1]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{\text{last}, l}} U_t = \emptyset$. By Lemma 9, $|\bigcup_{l \in [[l_{\text{last}-1}+1, l_{\text{last}}]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} U_t| \leq l_{\text{last}} - l_{\text{last}-1}$.
Thus, in any case, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{\text{last}}} U_t| \leq \max\{1, l_{\text{last}} - l_{\text{last}-1}\} = l_{\text{last}} - l_{\text{last}-1}$. $\square$

Lemma 13 *If $t'' = s$ and, for some $i \in [[0, \text{last}-1]]$, $x''_{i+1} \geq (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i-1}(1))_2$ and $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| \geq l_{i+1} - l_i$, then $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| = l_{i+1} - l_i$ and $x''_{i+1} = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i-1}(1))_2$ and $m''_{i+1} = p''_{i+1}$.*

Proof We have $l_{i+1} - l_i \geq 1$, and $(\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \beta_{i+1})_2 \leq (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1} - 1) \beta_{i+1})_2 \leq r'' < p''_i = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \gamma)_2$, where $|\gamma| = |\beta_{i+1}|$ and, by Lemma 1, $(\gamma)_2 \leq (\beta_{i+1})_2$.

We have

$$\bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} U_t \subseteq \bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} X_{i+1, l} \cap [[r'' + 1, m''_i - 1]] = \emptyset,$$

since $(\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \beta_{i+1})_2 \leq r''$ and $m''_i \leq p''_i < (\text{bin}(x''_i + 1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \beta_{i+1})_2$. Thus, we have $m''_{i+1, l} = m''_i$.

Consider the case $|\bigcup_{l \in [[l_i+1, l_{i+1}]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} U_t| \geq l_{i+1} - l_i$: By Lemma 9, for each $l \in [[l_i+1, l_{i+1}]]$, $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} U_t \subseteq \{p''_{i+1, l}\}$. Thus we have, for each $l \in [[l_i+1, l_{i+1}]]$, $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} U_t = \{p''_{i+1, l}\}$ and, hence, $|\bigcup_{l \in [[l_i+1, l_{i+1}]]} \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l}} U_t| = l_{i+1} - l_i$. This implies that, for each $l \in [[l_i, l_{i+1} - 1]]$, $m''_{i+1, l} > m''_{i+1, l+1} = p''_{i+1, l+1}$.

We have $p''_i = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(1)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \gamma)_2 \leq (\text{bin}(x''_i + 1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \beta_{i+1})_2$ and $(\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \beta_{i+1})_2 \leq r''$. Thus, $p''_{i+1, l+1} = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i-1} \beta_{i+1})_2$. Note also that, for $l \in [[l_i+1, l_{i+1} - 1]]$, we have that if $p''_{i+1, l} = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l-l_i-1}(1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l} \beta_{i+1})_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \{p''_{i+1, l+1}\} &= \bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1, l+1}} U_t \\ &\subseteq X_{i+1, l+1} \cap [[r'' + 1, p''_{i+1, l} - 1]] \\ &\subseteq X_{i+1, l+1} \cap [(\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i} \beta_{i+1})_2, p''_{i+1, l} - 1]] \\ &= \{a_{l+1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{l+1} = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l-l_i}(1)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l-1} \beta_{i+1})_2$, and, hence, $p''_{i+1, l+1} = a_{l+1}$. Thus, by induction, we have $x''_{i+1, l_{i+1}} = x''_{i+1} = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)^{l_{i+1}-l_i-1}(1))_2$. $\square$

Lemma 14 *If $t'' = s$ and, for some $i \in [[0, \text{last}-1]]$, $l_{i+1} = l_i + 1$ and $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| = 2$, then $l_i > 0$, $x''_i > 0$ and $(\text{bin}(x''_{i+1}))_2 = (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(1))_2$ and $m''_{i+1} = p''_{i+1}$.*

Proof If $l_i = 0$ and $l_{i+1} = 1$, then, we have $i = 0$ (only Y_0 may have size 2^0) and, since $t'' = s$, $m''_i \in X_i$, and, since $k \geq 2$, for some α , $X_i = \{((1)(0)\alpha)_2\}$ and $X_{i+1} = \{((0)(1)\alpha)_2, ((1)(1)\alpha)_2\}$ and $((1)(1)\alpha)_2 > m''_i = ((1)(0)\alpha)_2$ and, hence, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| \leq 1$. Thus, we have $l_i > 0$.

If $x''_i = 0$, then $m''_i \leq p''_i = \min X_i = ((0)^{l_i} \beta_i)_2$, where $\beta_i = (1)\gamma$ and $|\gamma| = |\beta_{i+1}|$ and, by Lemma 1, $(\gamma)_2 \leq (\beta_{i+1})_2$. Thus $m''_i \leq ((0)^{l_i}(1)\beta_{i+1})_2$ and, hence, $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t \subseteq X_{i+1} \cap [[r'' + 1, m''_i - 1]] \subseteq \{((0)^{l_i}(0)\beta_{i+1})_2\} = \{\min X_{i+1}\}$. Thus we have $x''_i > 0$.

We have $r'' \geq (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)\beta_i)_2 \geq (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(0)(0)^{k-l_i-1})_2 \geq a_i$, where $a_i = (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(0)\beta_{i+1})_2$, since $(\beta_i)_2 \geq ((1)(0)^{k-l_i-1})_2$.

We have $p''_i = (\text{bin}(x''_i)\beta_i)_2 \leq b_i$, where $b_i = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(1)\beta_{i+1})_2$, since $\beta_i = (1)\gamma$, where $|\gamma| = |\beta_{i+1}|$ and, by Lemma 1, $\gamma \leq \beta_{i+1}$.

If $r'' \geq (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(1)\beta_{i+1})_2$, then $\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t \subseteq X_{i+1} \cap [[r'' + 1, b_i - 1]] \subseteq \{(\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)\beta_{i+1})_2\}$. Thus, we have $a_i \leq r'' < (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(1)\beta_{i+1})_2$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} X_{i+1, l} \cap [[r'' + 1, m''_i - 1]] &\subseteq \bigcup_{l \in [[0, l_i]]} X_{i+1, l} \cap [[a_i + 1, b_i - 1]] \\ &= \{(\text{bin}(x''_i)(0)\beta_{i+1})_2\} \\ &= \{p''_{i+1, l_i}\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\bigcup_{l \in [[l_{i+1}, l_{i+1}]]} X_{i+1,l} \cap [[r'' + 1, p''_{i+1,l_i} - 1]] \subseteq \{(\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(1)\beta_{i+1})_2\}$. Hence, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| = 2$ implies that $p''_{i+1} = p''_{i+1,l_i+1} = (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(1)\beta_{i+1})_2$ and $m''_{i+1} = p''_{i+1}$. $\square$

Lemma 15 *If $t'' = s$ and, for some $i \in [[0, \text{last} - 2]]$, $x''_{i+1} = (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(1))_2$ and $m''_{i+1} = p''_{i+1}$ and, for some d such that $d \geq 0$ and $i + 2 + d \leq \text{last}$, we have, for each $c \in [[0, d]]$, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+2+c}} U_t| \geq l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c}$, then, for each $c \in [[0, d]]$, we have $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+2+c}} U_t| = l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c} \leq 2$ and $x''_{i+2+c} = (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+1+c})_2$, where $\gamma_j = (0)(1)^{l_{j+1} - l_j - 1}$, and $m''_{i+2+c} = p''_{i+2+c}$.*

Proof

Note that $l_{i+1} - l_i = 1$. We have $p''_i = (\text{bin}(x''_i)\beta_i)_2 = (\text{bin}(x''_i)(1)(0)\alpha_i)_2$. Hence, we have $r'' \geq (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)\beta_i)_2 = (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(1)(0)\alpha_i)_2 = (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})(0)\alpha_i)_2$.

Note that $(0)\alpha_i = \gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{\text{last} - 1}$, where $\gamma_{i+1+c} = (0)(1)^{l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c} - 1}$.

The fact that $r'' \geq (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})(0)\alpha_i)_2$ implies that, for arbitrary $c \in [[0, \text{last} - 1 - i]]$,

$$x''_{i+1+c} \geq (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c})_2 \quad (1)$$

as follows:

We have $\beta_{i+1+c} = (1)^{l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c}} \gamma_{i+2+c} \dots \gamma_{\text{last} - 1}$. Hence, for $x'' = (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c})_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{|\beta_{i+1+c}|} \cdot (x'' - 1) + (\beta_{i+1+c})_2 &< 2^{|\beta_{i+1+c}|} \cdot x'' + (\gamma_{i+1+c} \dots \gamma_{\text{last} - 1})_2 \\ &= (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{\text{last} - 1})_2 \\ &= (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})(0)\alpha_i)_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $p''_{i+1+c} = \min\{x \in \mathbb{X}_{i+1+c} | x > r''\} \geq 2^{|\beta_{i+1+c}|} \cdot x'' + (\beta_{i+1+c})_2$ and, hence, $x''_{i+1+c} \geq x''$.

To start induction, note that $x''_{i+1} = (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+0})_2$, where $\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+0}$ is an empty sequence.

Induction step: We have $c \in [[0, d]]$, and

- $x''_{i+1+c} = (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c})_2$, and
- $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1+c}} U_t| \geq l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c}$.

Consider the case: $l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c} = 1$. Then $\gamma_{i+1+c} = (0)$. We also have

$$(\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c}\beta_{i+1+c})_2 = p''_{i+1+c} = m''_{i+1+c},$$

where $\beta_{i+1+c} = (1)\gamma_{i+2+c} \dots \gamma_{\text{last} - 1}$. Note that $((1)\beta_{i+2+c})_2 \geq \beta_{i+1+c}$. Since $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1+c}} U_t| \geq 1$, we must have $m''_{i+1+c} > m''_{i+2+c} \in X_{i+2+c}$. Since $(\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c}(1)\beta_{i+2+c})_2 \geq m''_{i+1+c}$, and, by Equation (1),

$$x''_{i+2+c} \geq (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+1+c}) = (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c}(0))_2,$$

we must have

$$m''_{i+2+c} = p''_{i+2+c} = (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c}(0)\beta_{i+2+c})_2.$$

Hence $x''_{i+2+c} = (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+1+c})_2$. By Lemma 14, we also have $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1+c}} U_t| = 1$.

Consider the case: $l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c} = 2$. Then $\gamma_{i+1+c} = (01)$. Since, by Equation (1), $x''_{i+2+c} \geq (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1+c})(01))_2$, and $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+2+c}} U_t| \geq l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c}$, we have, by Lemma 13,

$$\begin{aligned} x''_{i+2+c} &= (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c}(01))_2 \\ &= (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+1+c})_2 \end{aligned}$$

and $p''_{i+2+c} = m''_{i+2+c}$ and $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+2+c}} U_t| = l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c}$.

Consider the case: $l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c} > 2$. Then $\gamma_{i+1+c} = (0)(1)^q$, where $q = l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c} - 1 > 1$. Since, by Equation (1), $x''_{i+2+c} \geq (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1+c})(0)^q(1))_2$ and $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1+c}} U_t| \geq l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c}$, we

have by Lemma 13, $x''_{i+2+c} = (\text{bin}(x''_i)\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c}(0)^q(1))_2$. However, by Equation (1), we have also

$$\begin{aligned} x''_{i+2+c} &\geq (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+1+c})_2 \\ &= (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c}(0)(1)^q)_2 \\ &> (\text{bin}(x''_{i+1})\gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_{i+c}(0)^q(1))_2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus the case $l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c} > 2$ is not possible. $\square$

Lemma 16 $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} U_t| \leq k + 2$.

Proof If $t'' \notin [[s, s + n - 1]]$ then $t'' = \infty$ and $r'' = n - 1$ and $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} U_t| = 0$.

Otherwise $t'' \in [[s, s + n - 1]]$. Note that w.l.o.g. we may assume that $t'' = s$, since $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} U_t| = |\bigcup_{t \geq t''} U_t|$. So, let us assume that $t'' = s$. We have $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} U_t| = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}} |\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| = |\bigcup_{t \in Y_0} U_t| + \sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}-1} |\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t|$. By Lemma 10, $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_0} U_t| = 1 \leq l_0 + 1$.

Let $V = \{i \in [[0, \text{last} - 1]] \mid |\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| > l_{i+1} - l_i\}$. By Lemma 12, $V \subseteq [[0, \text{last} - 2]]$. By Lemma 11, if $i \in V$, then $l_{i+1} - l_i = 1$ and $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| = 2$. By Lemma 14, if $i \in V$, then $(\text{bin}(x''_{i+1}))_2 = (\text{bin}(x''_i - 1)(1))_2$.

If $|V| \leq 1$, then $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} U_t| = |\bigcup_{t \in Y_0} U_t| + \sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}-1} |\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| \leq (l_0 + 1) + \sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}-1} (l_{i+1} - l_i) + 1 \leq k + 2$.

Consider the case $|V| > 1$:

Let $i \in V \setminus \{\max V\}$ and let $i' = \min\{j \in V \mid j > i\}$.

Let $d = \max\{c \mid |\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+2+c}} U_t| \geq l_{i+2+c} - l_{i+1+c}\}$.

Consider the case $i + 2 + d \geq i' + 1$: By Lemma 15, we have $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i'+1}} U_t| = l_{i'+1} - l_{i'}$. However, this is contradiction with $i' \in V$. Thus we have shown that there must be i'' , such that $i < i'' < i'$ and $|\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i''+1}} U_t| < l_{i''+1} - l_{i''}$.

Thus $\sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}-1} |\bigcup_{t \in Y_{i+1}} U_t| \leq 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\text{last}-1} (l_{i+1} - l_i)$ and, hence, $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} U_t| \leq k + 2$ also in the case $|V| > 1$. $\square$

5 Bound on the extra-energy

Theorem 1 *The extra-energy of RBO receiver is not greater than $2k + 3$.*

Proof The extra energy is equal to $|\bigcup_{t \geq s} U_t| + |\bigcup_{t \geq s} L_t|$, which by Lemmas 7 and 16 is not greater than $2k + 3$. $\square$

References

- [1] Marcin Kik. Rbo protocol: Broadcasting huge databases for tiny receivers. *CoRR*, abs/1108.5095, 2011.
- [2] Marcin Kik. Notes on bit-reversal broadcast scheduling. *CoRR*, abs/1201.3318, 2012.